MATH 321 – SPRING 2019 – EXAM 3 SOLUTIONS

1. (12 pts) A steel cable manufacturer wants to estimate the mean strength of their product for quality control purposes. A sample of 7 sections of cable are pull-tested until failure. The mean force required to cause failure in the sample is found to be 12,500 lbs with a sample standard deviation of 800 lbs. Calculate a 99% lower bound on true mean force required to cause cable failure. Writing the appropriate R code is acceptable. The numbers are not nice here.

Solution:

 $12500 - qt(0.99,df=6)\frac{800}{\sqrt{7}}$

2. (12 pts) An electronics component manufacturer wishes to estimate the mean lifespan of its resistors under a high voltage load. A sample of 400 resistors is tested and the mean time until failure is found to be 137 minutes with standard deviation 40 minutes. Estimate the mean time to failure with a 95% confidence interval. Do not use R code, estimate the interval by hand.

Solution:

The sample is very large, so we can use the CLT normal approximation. Also, ± 1 standard deviations gives a z quantile of about 2 by the 68-95-99.7 rule.

 $137 \pm \texttt{qnorm(0.975)} \frac{40}{\sqrt{7400}} \approx 137 \pm 2 \cdot \frac{40}{20} = 137 \pm 4 = (133, 141)$

3. (12 pts) A political polling company surveys two regions regarding support for a particular ballot proposition. Out of 300 respondents in region A, 210 of them will vote 'yes.' Out of 300 respondents in region B, 150 state they will vote 'yes'. Conduct a statistical hypothesis test at significance level $\alpha = 0.05$ about whether or not there truly is a difference in the support for the ballot proposition between the two regions. Calculate and simplify everything by hand. The numbers are nice if you use the pooled proportion.

Solution:

 H_0 : the proportions are equivalent, $p_1 = p_2$ $H_a: p_1 \neq p_2$ (a 1-sided test would also be acceptable) The pooled proportion is $\hat{p} = \frac{210+150}{300+300} = 0.6$ The test statistic is 0.7 - 0.5 0.2 0.2 0.2 100

$$z = \frac{0.7 - 0.5}{\sqrt{\frac{6}{10} \frac{4}{10} \frac{2}{300}}} = \frac{0.2}{\frac{4 \cdot 4}{100^2}} = \frac{0.2}{4/100} = 0.2 \cdot \frac{100}{4} = 5$$

This is a very large z value, well beyond the 2 standard deviations associated with the 5% level for a 2-sided test. Thus we reject H_0 . This conclusion holds regardless of 1- vs 2- sided.

- 4. A scientist at a water treatment plant takes 9 samples to test for a particular contaminant. The current regulatory agency allowed level is 5 parts per million (ppm) for this particular contaminant. The mean contaminant level is found to be $\overline{X} = 5.4$ ppm with a sample standard deviation of 0.1 ppm. A statistical hypothesis test is to be conducted with significance level $\alpha = 0.05$.
 - (a) (6 pts) Write down null and alternative hypotheses.

<u>Solution</u>: $H_0: \mu = 5, H_a: \mu > 5$

- (b) (6 pts) Calculate the needed test statistic. Simplify exactly by hand. <u>Solution:</u> $t = (5.4 - 5)/(0.1/\sqrt{9}) = 12$
- (c) (6 pts) Calculate the *p*-value. You may write R code here. <u>Solution:</u> p = 1-pt(12,8)
- (d) (6 pts) State your conclusion. Is there strong evidence that the water is or is not within regulatory guidelines? Even if you do not know the *p*-value exactly, use your knowledge to draw a definite conclusion.

Solution:

Our test statistic t is very large, that it will give a very small p-value, thus we will likely reject the null hypothesis with strong evidence.

- 5. (9 pts) Calculate the *p*-value for the following hypothesis tests for the mean of a normally distributed population and unknown variance. Answering in terms of R code is acceptable.
 - (a) H₀: μ = μ₀ H_a: μ ≠ μ₀ and test statistic t = 1.76 and sample size n = 20. <u>Solution</u>: This is 2-sided, so either 2*pt(-1.76,19) or 2*(1-pt(1.76,19))
 (b) H₀: μ = μ₀ H_a: μ > μ₀ and test statistic t = 1.87 and sample size n = 45. <u>Solution</u>: either pt(-1.87,44) or 1-pt(1.87,44) are fine or since we have a large sample size, we can use normal: pnorm(-1.87) or 1-pnorm(1.87)
 (c) H₀: μ = μ₀ H_a: μ < μ₀ and test statistic t = -2.15 and sample size n = 12. <u>Solution</u>:

either pt(-2.15,11) or 1-pt(2.15,11) are fine

6. (9 pts) Calculate the *p*-value for the following hypothesis tests for the mean of a normally distributed population and known variance. Answering in terms of R code is acceptable.

(a) H₀ : μ = μ₀ H_a : μ ≠ μ₀ and test statistic z = 2.76. <u>Solution</u>: 2*pnorm(-2.76) or 2*(1-pnorm(2.76))
(b) H₀ : μ = μ₀ H_a : μ > μ₀ and test statistic z = 1.79.

<u>Solution:</u> pnorm(-1.79) or 1-pnorm(1.79)

(c) $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$ $H_a: \mu < \mu_0$ and test statistic z = -1.15. <u>Solution:</u> pnorm(-1.15) or 1-pnorm(1.15)

7. (10 pts) Consider the dataset below. Calculate by hand: the least squares regression line, the correlation coefficient, and sum of squared errors. Hint: the numbers are nice!

	3
<i>y</i> 2050 2060 2100 2150 218	30

 $\underline{Solution:}$

Data is exactly linear. y = 2000 + 5x so R = 1 and SSE = 0.

The other exam version had: Data is exactly linear. y = 1000 + 2x so R = 1 and SSE = 0.

8. (12 pts) Match the correlation coefficient to the graph.



Solution:

In order:

R = -0.85, -0.063, -0.99R = 0.54, 0.97, 0.86